UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,466	08/07/2006	Ji Hoon Jeong	2236.0180000/JUK/SMW	4435
26111 7590 03/19/2009 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			PITRAK, JENNIFER S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			·····	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Applicati
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/551,4
Review	ID Schu

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination  JEONG ET AL.	
10/551,466		
	Art Unit	
JD Schultz, PhD	1635	

Part of Paper No. 20090316

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Red	quest for Review filed 17 December 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is reason(s):</li> </ol>	s improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include reas	n filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. Ons why a review is appropriate. d with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tion, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appear is required to submit an appeal brief in according will be reset to be one month from manual running from the receipt of the notice of appears.	als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been all because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period opeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the stack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is r	te has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) JD Schultz	(3) <u>Tracy Vivlemore</u> .
(2) Robert Wax.	(4) <u>Jennifer Pitrak</u> .
/JD Schultz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	